UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,363	03/30/2006	Robert Albertus Brondijk	NL031220	2294
24737 7590 06/02/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIADCH HE MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			HARVEY, DAVID E	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/574,363	BRONDIJK, ROBERT ALBERTUS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID E. HARVEY	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2009 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2621

1. The following references are noted:

Application/Control Number: 10/574,363

A) US Patent #7,177,261 to Thompson et al:

<u>Thompson et al</u> has been cited for its showing/description of the conventional format of dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs [NOTE: Figure 6; and lines 35-50 of column 7].

B) US Patent #7,227,831 to Tokumoto et al:

Tokumoto et al. has been cited for its clear/succinct description of the differences that exist when recording data to a *writable* duallayer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs and when recording data to a *rewritable* dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs [NOTE: lines 15-67 of column 1; lines 1-67 of column 2; and lines 1-36 of column 3]. Specifically, Tokumoto et al indicates that, in the case of writing data to *rewritable* dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs, the inter-layer transition position, as defined by the middle area, is variable/adjustable given the rewritable nature of the disc; i.e., the location changes as the volume of data changes [NOTE: lines 1-4, 21-25, 33-35, 38-42, 49-52, and 64-67 of 5-67 of column 2; and lines 1-2, and 31-36 of column 3].

While this reference does not qualify under Section 102 as "prior art" against the instant application [note the claim of foreign priority], the examiner maintains that such described characteristics of rewritable dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs were well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and, indeed, were inherent in the rewritable nature of such discs.

C) US Patent #7,184,377 to Ito et al:

Ito et al. has been cited because it too illustrates the conventional format of dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs [NOTE: Figure 4C; and lines 1-30 of column 3]. With respect to figure 6, Ito et al. describes and illustrates a modifying the conventional dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs format whereby intermediate spare areas (@ 106 and 106') are selectively "added to" the middle area/region thereby, as illustrated in Figure 6, effectively adjusting the location of the layer transition point and, implicitly, the physical and logical addresses associated therewith (i.e., the maximum available address values & storage space).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,363

Art Unit: 2621

2. The examiner notes that the description of "prior art" set forth on pages 1-2 of the instant specification is directed to writable DVDs; i.e., *this description is not directed to rewritable DVDs*. The examiner contends that this is significant in that:

Page 3

- A) While it is true that the physical and logical addresses pertaining to the layer transition point in conventional multilayer <u>writable</u> DVDs was conventionally prerecorded/fixed;
- B) The examiner contends that the physical and logical addresses pertaining to the layer transition point in multilayer <u>rewritable</u> DVDs was <u>not</u> conventionally prerecorded/fixed the middle area/zone of these rewritable DVDs, and hence the physical and logical address of the transit point were adjustable by the user during recording. This permitted the maximum available storage space to be adjusted according the amount of user data that was to be stored.

In this regard, it is noted that the instant claims are not limited to writable and, for reasons to be addressed below, the examiner contends that the instant claims are rendered obvious by the known method of duplicating DVDs performed by the conventional DVD copy programs cited in lines 1-8 on page 1 of the instant specification (as/when applied to rewritable DVDs).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,363 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of "prior art" in view of:
 - A) Notoriously well known a <u>rewritable</u> dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs formatting; and/or
 - B) The showing of US Patent #7,184,377 to Ito et al.
 - 1) While claims 1-3 recite "means", with the possible exception of the "writing means", said recited "means" are not written in a means plus function format and do not invoke a section 112-6 interpretation for this reason alone.
 - 2) On page 1 and lines 1-12 on page 2 of the instant specification, applicant acknowledges that the instant invention relates to a conventional method provided by conventional DVD copy programs that, as the time of the invention, were available for personal computers [e.g., note lines 1-8 on page 1 of the instant specification].
 - 3) As currently understood by the examiner, the apparatus recited in instant claim 1 appears to differ from the "personal computers" of the acknowledged prior art only in the recitation of a "logical address adjusting means" that is operative to adjust a first logical address based on a layer transition point provided by a layer transition point determined means.

The following is noted:

a) As addressed above (note paragraph 2 of this Office action), the examiner agrees that the "personal computers" of the acknowledged prior art prior would not have provided the recited operation of the recited adjusting means when writing information to a writable DVD disc being that the "middle area" of such writable discs were known to be prerecorded and therefor fixed.

address/recording space;

However, the examiner contends that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used these same conventional personal computers to write information to a rewritable DVD disc in which case the "middle area" was not prerecorded or fixed and, as such, was subject to being adjusted – i.e., which determined/adjusted the maximum available logical

Page 5

- b) Alternatively, the examiner contends that is would have been obvious to have modified the personal computers of the admitted prior art to add the intermediate spare areas to the "middle area" as was taught by Ito et al. [SEE: part "C)" under paragraph 1 of this Office action], thereby causing the layer transition point, and the maximum logical address space associated therewith, to be adjusted [See Figure 6 of Ito et al]. The motivation for this modification being the ability to correct disc errors provided by the added spare areas.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of "prior art" in view of: 1) Notoriously well known a rewritable dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs formatting; and/or 2) The showing of US Patent #7,184,377 to Ito et al., for the same reasons that were set forth above for claim 1.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of "prior art" in view of: 1) Notoriously well known a rewritable dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs formatting; and/or 2) The showing of US Patent #7,184,377 to Ito et al., for the same reasons that were set forth above for claim 1. Additionally:

The examiner maintains that the steps of retrieving the data to be copied from the first record carrier, the step of transferring the retrieved data to the recording device, the step of determining the transition point (and thus the maximum logical address that inherently corresponds thereto), and the step of recording the transferred data on the second record carrier, are in fact steps that must be performed by the conventional DVD copy programs that, as the time of the invention, were available for personal computers [e.g., note lines 1-8 on page 1 of the instant specification]. The examiner contents that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified such conventional methods to include the recited "adjusting" step in light of notoriously well known rewritable DVD discs and/or Ito et al. for reason addressed above with respect to claim 1.

7. Claims 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of "prior art" in view of: 1) Notoriously well known a rewritable dual-layer Opposite Track Path DVD optical recording discs formatting; and/or 2) The showing of US Patent #7,184,377 to Ito et al., for the same reasons that were set forth above for claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,363

Art Unit: 2621

8. Claims 5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/574,363 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID E.
 HARVEY whose telephone number is (571) 272-7345. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F from 6:00AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Marsha D. Banks-Harold, can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DAVID E HARVEY/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

DAVID E HARVEY Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621